	Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	10/6	658,966	BOND, JANINE	R.
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	Exa	miner	Art Unit	
	Jan	nes Mackey	1722	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>				
(1) <u>James Mackey</u> .		(3)		
(2) Beverly Bunting.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 19 July 2005	Ti	me: <u>2:30PM</u>		
	☐ Applicant's r No	epresentative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 22, 29, 30, 33, 36, 38, 41, 45-48				
Prior art documents discussed: none				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL I	NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a solution not result in resolution of all issues. A brie 	ation. The exame	miner will provide a writte	en summary of the interview, since	he substance

(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Appli	cant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Authorization was given to cancel newly-presented method claims 45-48 as being directed to an invention non-elected by original presentation; authorization was given to cancel claims 22 and 38 as being substantial duplicates of allowable claim 30 (see MPEP 706.03(k)); and authorization was given to amend claim 29 by referring to a powder box in order to agree with subsequent claim recitations, to amend claim 30 to refer to the previously recited powder box, to amend claim 33 to correct a misspelling, to amend claim 36 by changing the dependency in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "said first frame", and to amend claim 41 by changing the dependency in order to provide proper antecedent basis for "said carriage assembly"...